

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,390	HSIUNG, BOB	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	L Amerson	3764	

All Participants:

(1) L Amerson.

(2) Thomas Rozsa.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 June 2005

Time: 2:15pm EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner sought permission to prepare an examiners amendment with the following changes: page 6, line 9; drawing corrections to reference numerals 156 and 228. The examiner questioned whether 112, 6th paragraph is invoked for the means language in claim 4 and 5 and the representative positively confirmed..